PsychTests.com advancing psychology and technology



Tel 514.745.8272 fax 514.745.6242 CP Normandie PO Box 26067 I Montreal, Quebec I H3M 3E8 contact@psychtests.com

# **Psychometric Report**

# **Management Style - Revised**

### **Description:**

A 49-item inventory assessing management style.

#### **Definitions of Terms**

#### **People Orientation**

Focused on keeping employees happy/motivated/productive

#### **Product Orientation**

Focused on getting the job done

#### **Goal Orientation**

Task Oriented:	Vs.	Goal Oriented:
Focused on each task as a		Sees the big Picture, has a vision for
separate entity		the entire process/job.

#### **Directive Orientation**

"Boss" position Assigns work Uses reward/reprimand model

#### **Participatory Orientation**

Works "in the trenches" Involves everyone is various stages/levels of work Coaches

#### **Adaptive Orientation**

Inflexible Orientation:	Vs.	Adaptive
Won't consider new ways		Bends the rules
Once decision has been made,		Can change their thinking
there is no going back on it.		Open to new ideas
*Might* be stuck on the Status		
Quo (but does not have to be)		

#### **Change Orientation**

Maintaining the Status Quo Believes in the status quo Not willing to take risk Follows the way "it has always been" does not fight it. Not interested in implementing change	Vs.	Incite Change Forward thinking Not afraid of risk Makes change
--	-----	---

#### **Positive Orientation**

Problem Mentality	Vs.	Possibility Mentality
Dark cloud		Sunny view, everything will be fine
Looks at the negative		"We'll figure something out"
Always looking for		Sees opportunity
problems/pitfalls		

#### **Future Orientation**

Reactive Orientation	Vs.	Proactive Orientation
Waits for things to come	_	Thinks ahead (organized)
Not looking ahead OR doesn't		Motivated
care about what is coming.		Could be a risk taker
Possibly unmotivated to take an		
active stance.		

The test is suitable for adult and adolescent populations

#### **Reference:**

Tidman, L., Jerabek, I., St Jean, T. (2002). Management Style Test - Revised. QueenDom.com

### Sample Size: 587

### Sample Description:

The sample includes men and women, aged 6 to 100, who took the test on Queendom.com .

Number of questions: 49

# **Descriptive Statistics**

See Annex 1 for Descriptive statistics

### **Distributions for the Management Style Test**

The distribution of the scores is shown in red; the normal curve is represented by the black line. The scores are displayed on the x-axis. The y-axis corresponds to the number of respondents who fall into the relevant score range.

### Men and Women



People Orientation







**Directive Orientation** 



### **Participatory Orientation**



Adaptive Orientation



#### **Change Orientation**



**Positive Orientation** 







### Women Only



#### **Product Orientation**

**People Orientation** 





**Directive Orientation** 



#### **Participatory Orientation**



Adaptive Orientation







**Positive Orientation** 







### Men Only



**Product Orientation** 

**People Orientation** 





**Directive Orientation** 



#### **Participatory Orientation**



Adaptive Orientation







**Positive Orientation** 







### **Reliability and Internal Consistency**

### **Factor 1: Product Orientation**

Inter-Item Consistency Cronbach's Coefficient Alpha:0.649

### Split-Half Reliability

Correlation between forms: 0.494 Spearman-Brown formula: Unequal 0.668 Guttman's formula: 0.654

### Factor 2: People Orientation (19 items)

# Inter-Item Consistency

Cronbach's Coefficient Alpha: 658

### Split-Half Reliability

Correlation between forms: 0.514 Spearman-Brown formula: Unequal 0.680 Guttman's formula: 0.671

### **Factor 3: Goal Orientation**

### Inter-Item Consistency

Cronbach's Coefficient Alpha: 0.540

### Split-Half Reliability

Correlation between forms: 0.433 Spearman-Brown formula: Unequal 0.607 Guttman's formula: 0.595

### Factor 4: Directive Orientation (8 items)

Inter-Item Consistency Cronbach's Coefficient Alpha: 0.600

### **Split-Half Reliability**

Correlation between forms: 0.488 Spearman-Brown formula: Unequal 0.658 Guttman's formula: 0.651

### Factor 5: Participatory Orientation (6 items)

Inter-Item Consistency Cronbach's Coefficient Alpha: 0.742

### Split-Half Reliability

Correlation between forms: 0.562 Spearman-Brown formula: Unequal 0.721 Guttman's formula: 0.716

### Factor 6: Adaptive Orientation (6 items)

Inter-Item Consistency Cronbach's Coefficient Alpha: 570

### **Split-Half Reliability**

Correlation between forms: 0.343 Spearman-Brown formula: Unequal 0.510 Guttman's formula: 0.483

### Factor 7: Change Orientation (6 items)

Inter-Item Consistency Cronbach's Coefficient Alpha: 570

### Split-Half Reliability

Correlation between forms: 0.483 Spearman-Brown formula: Unequal 0.655 Guttman's formula: 0.640

### Factor 8: Positive Orientation (6 items)

Inter-Item Consistency Cronbach's Coefficient Alpha: 0.366

#### Split-Half Reliability

Correlation between forms: 0.333 Spearman-Brown formula: Unequal 0.499 Guttman's formula: 0.499

### Factor 9: Proactive Orientation (6 items)

### Inter-Item Consistency

Cronbach's Coefficient Alpha: 0.678

### **Split-Half Reliability**

Correlation between forms: 0.548 Spearman-Brown formula: Unequal 0.711 Guttman's formula: 0.698

# **Criterion and Construct Validity**

### 1. Relationship between being a successful manager and management style

Question #1:If you are in a management position do you feel that you are a successful manager? OPTION VALUE="na" SELECTED>I don't want to answer OPTION VALUE="1">Yes, completely OPTION VALUE="1">Yes, completely OPTION VALUE="2">Somewhat OPTION VALUE="2">Somewhat OPTION VALUE="3">Slightly OPTION VALUE="3">Slightly OPTION VALUE="3">I am not in a management position

### **Product Orientation**

No significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on how good of a manager they were. Neither less, the people who thought they were successful managers appear to be slightly more product oriented than the others. See Annex 2 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.

F<sub>(2,276)</sub> = 2.886 p > 0.050



#### PRUDUCT ORIENTATION AND MANAGEMENT SKILLS

### **People Orientation**

Significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on how good of a manager they were. The people who thought they are outstanding managers scored significantly higher than the people who though they are somewhat successful managers. No other significant differences were detected. See Annex 2 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.





#### PRUDUCT ORIENTATION AND MANAGEMENT SKILLS

**Successful Manager** 

### **Goal Orientation**

Significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on how good of a manager they were. The people who thought they are outstanding managers scored significantly higher than the people who though they are somewhat successful managers. No other significant differences were detected. The effects are robust. See Annex 2 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.





#### GOAL ORIENTATION AND MANAGEMENT SKILLS

**Successful Manager** 

### **Directive Orientation**

Significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on how good of a manager they were. The people who thought they are outstanding managers scored significantly higher than the people who though they are somewhat successful managers. No other significant differences were detected. See Annex 2 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.





### DIRECTIVE ORIENTATION AND MANAGEMENT SKILLS

Successful Manager

### **Participatory Orientation**

Significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on how good of a manager they were. The people who thought they are outstanding managers scored significantly higher than the people who though they are somewhat and slightly successful managers. No other significant differences were detected. The effects are robust. See Annex 2 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.





#### PARTICIPATORY ORIENTATION AND MANAGEMENT SKILLS

Successful Manager

### **Adaptive Orientation**

Significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on how good of a manager they were. The people who thought they are outstanding managers scored significantly higher than the people who though they are somewhat and slightly and not at all successful managers. No other significant differences were detected. The effects are robust. See Annex 2 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.



#### ADAPTIVE ORIENTATION AND MANAGEMENT SKILLS

**Successful Manager** 

### **Change Orientation**

Significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on how good of a manager they were. The people who thought they are outstanding managers scored significantly higher than the people who though they are somewhat successful managers. No other significant differences were detected. See Annex 2 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.





#### CHANGE ORIENTATION AND MANAGEMENT SKILLS

Successful Manager

### **Positive Orientation**

Significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on how good of a manager they were. The people who thought they are outstanding managers scored significantly higher than all the other groups. No other significant differences were detected. The effects are robust. See Annex 2 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.



### **Proactive Orientation**

Significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on how good of a sales person they were. Only the really good sales people scored significantly higher than the three other groups. No significant differences were detected between those three groups. The effects are robust. See Annex 2 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.

 $F_{(3,8694)} = 8.547$  p < 0.000



#### PROACTIVE ORIENTATIN AND MANAGEMENT SKILLS

**Successful Manager** 

# 2. Relationship between the desire to be in a management position and management style

Question #2:Would you like to be in management position (if you are not already)? OPTION VALUE="na" SELECTED>I don't want to answer OPTION VALUE="1">Yes OPTION VALUE="2">No OPTION VALUE="3">I am already in a management position

### **Product Orientation**

Some significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on their aspiration to be a manager. The people who do not want to be in a management position, scored lower on the product orientation than the people who are in a management position. See Annex 3 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.

F<sub>(2,276)</sub> = 2.886 p < 0.057



#### PRUDUCT ORIENTATION AND ASPIRATION

Would Like To Be In A Management Position

### **People Orientation**

Significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on their aspiration to be a manager. The people who would like to be in a management position scored higher on the product orientation than the people who are already in a management position. No other significant differences were detected. See Annex 3 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.





#### **PEOPLE ORIENTATION AND ASPIRATION**

Would Like To Be In A Management Position

### **Goal Orientation**

Significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on their aspiration to be a manager. The people who would like to be in a management position scored higher on the goal orientation than the people who are already in a management position. No other significant differences were detected. See Annex 3 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.





#### **GOAL ORIENTATION AND ASPIRATION**

Would Like To Be In A Management Position

### **Directive Orientation**

No significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on aspiration to be a manger See Annex 3 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.





DIRECTIVE ORIENTATION AND ASPIRATION

Would Like To Be In A Management Position

### **Participatory Orientation**

p < 0.210

F<sub>(2,276)</sub> = 1.572

No significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on aspiration to be a manger See Annex 3 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.



Would Like To Be In A Management Position

### **Adaptive Orientation**

No significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on aspiration to be a manger See Annex 3 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.

F<sub>(2,276)</sub> = 1.284 p < 0.279



ADAPTIVE ORIENTATION AND ASPIRATION

Would Like To Be In A Management Position

### **Change Orientation**

No significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on aspiration to be a manger See Annex 3 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.

F<sub>(2,276)</sub> = 1.235 p < 0.292



CHANGE ORIENTATION AND ASPIRATION

Would Like To Be In A Management Position

### **Positive Orientation**

No significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on aspiration to be a manger See Annex 3 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.

F<sub>(2,276)</sub> = 0.692 p < 0.501



#### **POSITIVE ORIENTATION AND ASPIRATION**

Would Like To Be In A Management Position

### **Proactive Orientation**

No significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on aspiration to be a manger See Annex 3 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.



F<sub>(2,276)</sub> = 1.715 p < 0.182

### PROACTIVE ORIENTATION AND ASPIRATION

Would Like To Be In A Management Position

#### 3. Relationship between age and management style.

Question #3:Enter your age

### **Product Orientation**

No significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on their age. See Annex 4 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.

F<sub>(4,288)</sub> = 0.364 p < 0.834

### **People Orientation**

No significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on their age. See Annex 4 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.

F<sub>(4,288)</sub> = 2.157 p < 0.074

### **Goal Orientation**

No significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on their age. See Annex 4 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.

F<sub>(4,288)</sub> = 1,419 p < 0.228

### **Directive Orientation**

No significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on their age. See Annex 4 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.

F<sub>(4,288)</sub> = 2.078 p < 0.084

### **Participatory Orientation**

No significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on their age. See Annex 4 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.

F<sub>(4,288)</sub> = 1.960 p < 0.101

#### **Adaptive Orientation**

No significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on their age. See Annex 4 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.

F<sub>(4,288)</sub> = 1.635 p < 0.166

### **Change Orientation**

No significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on their age. See Annex 4 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.

F<sub>(4,288)</sub> = 1.011 p < 0.402

### **Positive Orientation**

No significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on their age. See Annex 4 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.

F<sub>(4,288)</sub> = 1.937 p < 0.104

### **Proactive Orientation**

No significant score differences were found among groups of subjects depending on their age. See Annex 4 for a table showing homogeneous subsets.

F<sub>(4,288)</sub> = 1.216 p < 0.304

### 3. Gender differences

Some significant gender differences were detected:

1) No gender differences were detected for the product orientation score:  $t_{(284)} = -1.809$ p < 0.072Mean difference: --3.268 2) No gender differences were detected for the people orientation score:  $t_{(284)} = 1.718$ p > 0.087 Mean difference: 2.083 3) No gender differences were detected for the goal orientation score:  $t_{(284)} = 1.581$ p < 0.115 Mean difference: 2.095 4) No gender differences were detected for the directive orientation score:  $t_{(284)} = 1.828$ p < 0.069 Mean difference: 2.685 5) Women scored significantly higher than men in the participatory orientation score: Mean difference: 2.703  $t_{(284)} = 1.976$ p < 0.050 6) No gender differences were detected for the adaptive orientation score: Mean difference: 0.520  $t_{(284)} = 0.465$ p > 0.6437) No gender differences were detected for the change orientation score: Mean difference: -1.400  $t_{(284)} = -1.027$ p > 0.305 8) No gender differences were detected for the positive orientation score: Mean difference: -0.434  $t_{(284)} = -0.343$ p > 0.7329) Men scored significantly higher than women in the proactive orientation score:  $t_{(284)} = -2.163$ p > 0.031 Mean difference: -3.532

# Group Statistics for Gender Differences

					Std. Error
	Gender	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Mean
Product	Woman	135	41.47	15.326	1.319
Orientation	Man	151	44.74	15.189	1.236
People	Woman	135	67.97	10.398	.895
Orientation	Man	151	65.89	10.085	.821
Goal Orientation	Woman	135	62.96	11.970	1.030
	Man	151	60.86	10.435	.849
Directive	Woman	135	60.03	12.548	1.080
Orientation	Man	151	57.34	12.269	.998
Participatory	Woman	135	72.76	11.715	1.008
Orientation	Man	151	70.05	11.393	.927
Adaptive	Woman	135	58.97	8.917	.767
Orientation	Man	151	58.45	9.900	.806
Change	Woman	135	58.66	11.068	.953
Orientation	Man	151	60.06	11.884	.967
Positive	Woman	135	55.65	11.568	.996
Orientation	Man	151	56.09	9.866	.803
Proactive	Woman	135	66.73	14.436	1.242
Orientation	Man	151	70.26	13.181	1.073

### **Group Statistics**

# Independent Samples Test for Gender Differences

#### Test

#### Independent Samples

				t-test f	or Equality of	Means		
		t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confident of the Diffe	ce Interval erence
							Lower	Upper
Product Orientation	Equal variances assumed	-1.809	284	.072	-3.268	1.807	-6.824	.289
	Equal variances not assumed	-1.808	279.872	.072	-3.268	1.808	-6.826	.291
People Orientation	Equal variances assumed	1.718	284	.087	2.083	1.212	303	4.469
	Equal variances not assumed	1.715	278.318	.087	2.083	1.214	307	4.473
Goal Orientation	Equal variances assumed	1.581	284	.115	2.095	1.325	513	4.702
	Equal variances not assumed	1.569	267.590	.118	2.095	1.335	534	4.723
Directive Orientation	Equal variances assumed	1.828	284	.069	2.685	1.469	206	5.577
	Equal variances not assumed	1.826	278.928	.069	2.685	1.471	210	5.580
Participatory Orientation	Equal variances assumed	1.976	284	.049	2.703	1.368	.011	5.394
	Equal variances not assumed	1.973	278.524	.049	2.703	1.370	.006	5.399
Adaptive Orientation	Equal variances assumed	.465	284	.643	.520	1.119	-1.683	2.723
	Equal variances not assumed	.467	283.982	.641	.520	1.113	-1.670	2.710
Change Orientation	Equal variances assumed	-1.027	284	.305	-1.400	1.363	-4.083	1.282
	Equal variances not assumed	-1.032	283.518	.303	-1.400	1.357	-4.072	1.272
Positive Orientation	Equal variances assumed	343	284	.732	434	1.268	-2.930	2.061
	Equal variances not assumed	340	264.882	.734	434	1.279	-2.952	2.084
Proactive Orientation	Equal variances assumed	-2.163	284	.031	-3.532	1.633	-6.747	318
	Equal variances not assumed	-2.152	272.800	.032	-3.532	1.641	-6.764	301

### 4. Correlations

		Product	People	Goal	Directive	Participatory
Product	Pearson Correlation	Onentation	Onentation	Onentation	Onentation	Onentation
Orientation		1	<mark>215(**</mark> )	<mark>243(**)</mark>	<mark>448(**)</mark>	<mark>359(**)</mark>
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.000
	Ν	293	293	293	293	293
People Orientation	Pearson Correlation	<mark>215(**)</mark>	1	.560(**)	.433(**)	.698(**)
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000	.000
	Ν	293	293	293	293	293
Goal Orientation	Pearson Correlation	<mark>243(**)</mark>	.560(**)	1	.777(**)	.747(**)
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.000
	Ν	293	293	293	293	293
Directive Orientation	Pearson Correlation	<mark>448(**)</mark>	<mark>.433(**)</mark>	<mark>.777(**)</mark>	1	.748(**)
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000		.000
	Ν	293	293	293	293	293
Participatory Orientation	Pearson Correlation	<mark>359(**)</mark>	.698(**)	.747(**)	.748(**)	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	Ν	293	293	293	293	293
Adaptive Orientation	Pearson Correlation	<mark>285(**)</mark>	<mark>.497(**)</mark>	<mark>.543(**)</mark>	<mark>.476(**)</mark>	<mark>.660(**)</mark>
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	Ν	293	293	293	293	293
Change Orientation	Pearson Correlation	048	<mark>.210(**)</mark>	<mark>.380(**)</mark>	<mark>.232(**)</mark>	<mark>.325(**)</mark>
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.416	.000	.000	.000	.000
	Ν	293	293	293	293	293
Positive Orientation	Pearson Correlation	<mark>288(**)</mark>	<mark>.278(**)</mark>	<mark>.417(**)</mark>	<mark>.404(**)</mark>	<mark>.487(**)</mark>
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	Ν	293	293	293	293	293
Proactive Orientation	Pearson Correlation	.038	<mark>.216(**)</mark>	<mark>.407(**)</mark>	<mark>.202(**)</mark>	<mark>.358(**)</mark>
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.522	.000	.000	.000	.000
	Ν	293	293	293	293	293
Age	Pearson Correlation	026	120(*)	.073	.124(*)	.074
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.659	.040	.210	.033	.209
	Ν	293	293	293	293	293
Successful Manager	Pearson Correlation	161(*)	.058	006	.007	006
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.012	.371	.927	.917	.931
	Ν	242	242	242	242	242

#### Correlations

\*\* Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
\* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

#### Correlations

		Adaptive Orientation	Change Orientation	Positive Orientation	Proactive Orientation	Age	Successful Manager
Product Orientation	Pearson Correlation	<mark>285(**)</mark>	048	<mark>288(**)</mark>	.038	026	161(*)
onontation	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.416	.000	.522	.659	.012
	Ν	293	293	293	293	293	242
People Orientation	Pearson Correlation	<mark>.497(**)</mark>	<mark>.210(**)</mark>	<mark>.278(**)</mark>	<mark>.216(**)</mark>	120(*)	.058
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.040	.371
	Ν	293	293	293	293	293	242
Goal Orientation	Pearson Correlation	.543(**)	<mark>.380(**)</mark>	<mark>.417(**)</mark>	<mark>.407(**)</mark>	.073	006
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.210	.927
	Ν	293	293	293	293	293	242
Directive Orientation	Pearson Correlation	<mark>.476(**)</mark>	<mark>.232(**)</mark>	<mark>.404(**)</mark>	<mark>.202(**)</mark>	.124(*)	.007
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.033	.917
	Ν	293	293	293	293	293	242
Participatory Orientation	Pearson Correlation	.660(**)	<mark>.325(**)</mark>	<mark>.487(**)</mark>	<mark>.358(**)</mark>	.074	006
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.209	.931
	Ν	293	293	293	293	293	242
Adaptive Orientation	Pearson Correlation	1	.584(**)	<mark>.437(**)</mark>	<mark>.477(**)</mark>	.023	045
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.698	.483
	Ν	293	293	293	293	293	242
Change Orientation	Pearson Correlation	.584(**)	1	<mark>.191(**)</mark>	.658(**)	.016	126
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.001	.000	.791	.051
	Ν	293	293	293	293	293	242
Positive Orientation	Pearson Correlation	.437(**)	<mark>.191(**)</mark>	1	<mark>.251(**)</mark>	.125(*)	015
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.001		.000	.033	.811
	Ν	293	293	293	293	293	242
Proactive Orientation	Pearson Correlation	<mark>.477(**)</mark>	.658(**)	<mark>.251(**)</mark>	1	.001	128(*)
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000		.987	.046
	Ν	293	293	293	293	293	242
Age	Pearson Correlation	.023	.016	.125(*)	.001	1	<mark>263(**)</mark>
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.698	.791	.033	.987		.000
	N	293	293	293	293	293	242
Successful Manager	Pearson Correlation	045	126	015	128(*)	<mark>263(**)</mark>	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.483	.051	.811	.046	.000	
	Ν	242	242	242	242	242	242

\*\* Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
\* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

### **ANNEX 1 -Descriptive Statistics**

### Women and Men

				Statis	lics					
		Product	People	Goal	Directive	Participatory	Adaptive	Change	Positive	Proactive
		Orientation	Orientation	Orientation	Orientation	Orientation	Orientation	Orientation	Orientation	Orientation
Ν	Valid	587	587	587	587	587	587	587	587	587
	Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean		44.01	66.84	61.75	58.00	71.02	57.92	58.89	55.29	68.82
Std. Error of Mean		.650	.448	.469	.508	.492	.405	.503	.436	.572
Median		44.00	67.00	60.00	58.00	71.00	57.00	59.00	54.00	68.00
Mode		40	67	52	54	70	56	48	44	60
Std. Deviation		15.753	10.857	11.363	12.310	11.912	9.817	12.183	10.564	13.856
Variance		248.169	117.883	129.125	151.544	141.890	96.373	148.434	111.599	191.987
Skewness		.494	746	.336	.169	440	.226	.066	.155	.023
Std. Error of Skewness		.101	.101	.101	.101	.101	.101	.101	.101	.101
Kurtosis		.803	4.858	.500	.307	.875	.044	063	423	251
Std. Error of Kurtosis		.201	.201	.201	.201	.201	.201	.201	.201	.201
Range		100	100	75	78	78	61	75	55	71
Minimum		0	0	25	22	22	31	14	30	29
Maximum		100	100	100	100	100	92	89	85	100
Percentiles	5	20.80	49.00	44.00	37.40	52.00	43.00	41.00	41.00	48.00
	10	27.00	55.00	48.00	42.00	57.00	44.80	44.00	43.00	51.00
	15	29.40	58.00	51.00	46.00	59.00	48.00	48.00	44.00	54.00
	20	31.00	60.00	52.00	47.00	61.00	50.00	48.00	44.00	57.00
	25	33.00	61.00	54.00	49.00	63.00	51.00	51.00	48.00	59.00
	30	36.00	62.00	56.00	52.00	65.00	53.00	51.00	48.00	60.00
	35	37.60	63.00	57.00	53.00	67.80	54.00	52.00	50.00	62.00
	40	40.00	64.20	58.00	54.00	69.00	55.00	54.00	52.00	65.00
	45	40.00	66.00	59.00	57.00	70.00	56.00	56.00	54.00	67.00
	50	44.00	67.00	60.00	58.00	71.00	57.00	59.00	54.00	68.00
	55	44.00	68.00	62.00	59.00	73.00	59.00	59.40	56.00	70.40
	60	47.00	69.00	64.00	61.60	74.00	60.00	61.60	57.00	71.00
	65	49.00	70.00	65.00	63.00	76.00	62.00	63.00	59.00	75.00
	70	51.00	72.00	67.00	64.00	78.00	63.00	67.00	61.00	76.00
	75	53.00	74.00	69.00	67.00	80.00	65.00	68.00	63.00	78.00
	80	56.00	75.00	70.00	68.00	81.00	66.00	70.00	65.00	81.00
	85	60.00	77.00	73.00	69.80	83.00	68.00	71.00	67.00	86.00
	90	64.00	80.00	77.00	73.00	85.00	69.00	76.00	70.00	87.00
	95	71.00	83.00	83.00	78.00	90.00	74.00	81.00	74.00	90.00
	97	76.00	86.36	85.00	83.00	92.36	77.00	81.00	76.00	95.00
	99	89.00	93.24	93.00	91.24	97.00	83.00	86.00	80.12	100.00

# Women Only

				Statis	tics					
		Product	People	Goal	Directive	Participatory	Adaptive	Change	Positive	Proactive
		Orientation	Orientation	Orientation	Orientation	Orientation	Orientation	Orientation	Orientation	Orientation
N	Valid	159	159	159	159	159	159	159	159	159
	Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean		41.02	67.84	63.03	60.23	72.74	59.07	58.40	56.02	66.89
Std. Error of Mean		1.177	.781	.911	.956	.892	.684	.883	.911	1.121
Median		40.00	68.00	62.00	60.00	73.00	59.00	57.00	56.00	67.00
Mode		31 <sup>a</sup>	75	57	68	70 <sup>a</sup>	56	57	54	60
Std. Deviation		14.837	9.852	11.489	12.058	11.245	8.627	11.140	11.487	14.136
Variance		220.145	97.062	131.987	145.391	126.446	74.432	124.089	131.943	199.835
Skewness		.523	174	.476	.088	400	.039	.281	.045	.067
Std. Error of Skewness		.192	.192	.192	.192	.192	.192	.192	.192	.192
Kurtosis		.412	.105	.468	.595	.638	341	573	398	274
Std. Error of Kurtosis		.383	.383	.383	.383	.383	.383	.383	.383	.383
Range		78	53	65	73	66	42	51	55	68
Minimum		9	38	35	27	34	41	35	30	32
Maximum		87	91	100	100	100	83	86	85	100
Percentiles	5	18.00	51.00	46.00	38.00	53.00	44.00	41.00	37.00	44.00
	10	22.00	55.00	49.00	44.00	57.00	48.00	44.00	41.00	49.00
	15	27.00	58.00	52.00	48.00	61.00	50.00	46.00	44.00	54.00
	20	31.00	60.00	53.00	51.00	63.00	51.00	49.00	44.00	56.00
	25	31.00	61.00	56.00	52.00	66.00	53.00	51.00	48.00	57.00
	30	31.00	63.00	57.00	54.00	68.00	55.00	51.00	50.00	59.00
	35	36.00	65.00	58.00	56.00	70.00	56.00	54.00	52.00	60.00
	40	36.00	66.00	59.00	57.00	70.00	56.00	56.00	54.00	62.00
	45	36.00	67.00	60.00	59.00	72.00	58.00	57.00	54.00	65.00
	50	40.00	68.00	62.00	60.00	73.00	59.00	57.00	56.00	67.00
	55	40.00	69.00	63.00	62.00	75.00	60.00	59.00	57.00	68.00
	60	44.00	70.00	67.00	64.00	76.00	62.00	60.00	59.00	70.00
	65	44.00	72.00	68.00	65.00	78.00	63.00	60.00	61.00	71.00
	70	49.00	73.00	69.00	67.00	79.00	64.00	63.00	63.00	75.00
	75	49.00	74.00	70.00	68.00	80.00	65.00	67.00	63.00	76.00
	80	51.00	75.00	72.00	69.00	82.00	67.00	70.00	65.00	81.00
	85	53.00	77.00	74.00	73.00	84.00	68.00	71.00	69.00	83.00
	90	60.00	81.00	78.00	74.00	85.00	69.00	76.00	72.00	86.00
	95	69.00	85.00	83.00	79.00	91.00	74.00	79.00	76.00	90.00
	97	76.00	87.20	86.60	81.80	94.00	75.20	81.00	76.80	92.00
	99	82.80	90.40	100.00	97.60	100.00	81.20	83.00	82.60	100.00

a. Multiple modes exist. The smallest value is shown

# Men Only

				Statis	tics					
		Product	People	Goal Orientation	Directive	Participatory	Adaptive	Change	Positive	Proactive
N	Valid	190	190	190	190	190	190	190	190	190
	Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean	·	44.78	65.86	60.96	57.47	70.15	58.04	59.65	55.33	69.95
Std. Error of Mean		1.140	.808	.766	.880	.837	.741	.909	.755	.983
Median		44.00	66.00	60.00	57.00	70.50	58.00	59.00	54.00	70.00
Mode		40	61 <sup>a</sup>	62	57 <sup>a</sup>	65	59	60	44	86
Std. Deviation		15.716	11.142	10.564	12.135	11.542	10.212	12.533	10.409	13.552
Variance		246.998	124.133	111.596	147.256	133.220	104.284	157.076	108.337	183.643
Skewness		.199	-1.035	.151	.031	522	.278	133	.123	172
Std. Error of Skewness		.176	.176	.176	.176	.176	.176	.176	.176	.176
Kurtosis		.651	6.426	.890	.728	1.714	.288	.363	519	.056
Std. Error of Kurtosis		.351	.351	.351	.351	.351	.351	.351	.351	.351
Range		100	100	69	78	78	59	75	51	71
Minimum		0	0	25	22	22	33	14	30	29
Maximum		100	100	94	100	100	92	89	81	100
Percentiles	5	18.00	48.00	44.00	35.55	53.55	41.00	39.10	40.10	48.00
	10	22.50	54.10	48.30	43.00	57.00	44.00	46.00	43.00	54.00
	15	31.00	57.00	52.00	46.00	59.00	47.00	48.00	44.00	57.00
	20	31.00	59.00	53.00	47.00	60.00	50.00	51.00	44.40	59.00
	25	36.00	60.75	54.00	49.00	63.00	51.00	51.00	48.00	60.00
	30	36.00	61.00	56.00	52.00	65.00	53.00	52.00	50.00	62.00
	35	40.00	62.00	57.00	53.00	65.00	54.00	54.00	50.00	65.00
	40	40.00	63.00	58.00	54.00	67.40	55.00	56.00	52.00	65.80
	45	43.90	64.00	59.00	56.00	69.00	56.00	58.90	54.00	68.00
	50	44.00	66.00	60.00	57.00	70.50	58.00	59.00	54.00	70.00
	55	44.15	67.00	62.00	58.05	72.00	59.00	60.00	56.00	71.10
	60	49.00	68.00	63.00	60.00	73.00	59.60	62.00	57.00	73.00
	65	49.30	69.00	64.00	62.00	75.00	62.00	65.00	59.00	75.00
	70	51.00	70.00	65.00	64.00	76.00	63.00	67.00	61.00	77.40
	75	53.00	73.00	67.00	67.00	78.25	65.00	68.00	63.00	78.00
	80	57.60	74.00	69.00	68.00	80.00	66.80	70.00	65.00	83.00
	85	60.70	76.00	70.00	69.00	81.00	69.00	73.00	67.00	86.00
	90	64.00	79.90	74.00	72.00	84.00	70.90	77.80	69.90	87.00
	95	69.90	82.45	79.45	75.90	89.45	75.45	81.00	72.90	90.90
	97	73.81	86.27	81.81	81.54	91.00	77.54	84.00	76.00	95.00
	99	89.99	95.45	91.27	91.81	97.27	89.27	86.27	80.09	100.00

a. Multiple modes exist. The smallest value is shown

### **ANNEX 2 -Homogeneous Subsets**

The following tables present the homogeneous subsets for all the management styles and being a successful manager:

#### **Product Orientation**

Tukey HSD

		Subset for alpha = .05
Successful Manager	Ν	1
Not In A Management Position	25	38.32
Not at All	35	40.40
Slightly	14	42.79
Yes, Completely	85	43.86
Somewhat	128	44.52
Sig.		.477

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 31.333.

b The group sizes are unequal. The harmonic mean of the group sizes is used. Type I error levels are not guaranteed.

#### **People Orientation**

Tukey HSD

		Subset for alpha = .05
	N	
Successiul Manager	IN	1
Slightly	14	64.07
Somewhat	128	64.18
Not In A Management Position	25	67.60
Yes, Completely	85	68.92
Not at All	35	69.20
Sig.		.297

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 31.333.

#### **Goal Orientation**

Tukey HSD

		Subset for alpha = .05	
Successful Manager	Ν	1	2
Slightly	14	58.50	
Somewhat	128	58.70	58.70
Not at All	35	61.74	61.74
Not In A Management Position	25	64.60	64.60
Yes, Completely	85		66.06
Sig.		.164	.054

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 31.333.

b The group sizes are unequal. The harmonic mean of the group sizes is used. Type I error levels are not guaranteed.

#### **Directive Orientation**

Tukey HSD

		Subset for alpha = .05
Successful Manager	Ν	1
Somewhat	128	55.77
Not at All	35	57.71
Slightly	14	58.14
Not In A Management Position	25	61.20
Yes, Completely	85	61.94
Sig.		.255

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 31.333.

#### **Participatory Orientation**

Tukey HSD

		Subset for alpha = .05	
Successful Manager	Ν	1	2
Slightly	14	66.71	
Somewhat	128	67.66	
Not at All	35	71.46	71.46
Not In A Management Position	25	73.08	73.08
Yes, Completely	85		76.68
Sig.		.144	.321

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 31.333.

b The group sizes are unequal. The harmonic mean of the group sizes is used. Type I error levels are not guaranteed.

#### Adaptive Orientation

Tukey HSD				
		Subset for alpha = .05		
Successful Manager	Ν	1	2	3
Slightly	14	53.07		
Somewhat	128	56.08	56.08	
Not at All	35	57.34	57.34	57.34
Not In A Management Position	25		60.48	60.48
Yes, Completely	85			63.14
Sig.		.319	.289	.077

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 31.333.

b The group sizes are unequal. The harmonic mean of the group sizes is used. Type I error levels are not guaranteed.

#### **Change Orientation**

Tukey HSD

		Subset for alpha = .05	
Successful Manager	Ν	1	2
Slightly	14	51.21	
Not at All	35	55.97	
Somewhat	128	57.67	57.67
Not In A Management Position	25	58.76	58.76
Yes, Completely	85		64.12
Sig.		.074	.176

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 31.333.

#### **Positive Orientation**

Tukey HSD

		Subset for alpha = .05
<b>a (111</b>		
Successful Manager	N	1
Slightly	14	52.86
Somewhat	128	54.02
Not In A Management Position	25	54.92
Not at All	35	56.97
Yes, Completely	85	59.52
Sig.		.091

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 31.333.

b The group sizes are unequal. The harmonic mean of the group sizes is used. Type I error levels are not guaranteed.

#### **Proactive Orientation**

Tukey HSD

		Subset for alpha = .05	
Successful Manager	Ν	1	2
Slightly	14	63.93	
Somewhat	128	65.49	
Not at All	35	65.54	
Not In A Management Position	25	66.24	
Yes, Completely	85		75.29
Sig.		.956	1.000

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 31.333.

### ANNEX 3 -Homogeneous Subsets

The following tables present the homogeneous subsets for all the management styles and the aspiration.

#### **Product Orientation**

Tukey HSD

Would Like To Be In A		Subset for	alpha = .05
Management Position	Ν	1	2
No	13	32.54	
Yes	102		41.88
Already In A Management Position	164		43.13
Sig.		1.000	.943

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 32.319.

b The group sizes are unequal. The harmonic mean of the group sizes is used. Type I error levels are not guaranteed.

#### **People Orientation**

Tukey HSD

		Subset for alpha = .05
Would Like To Be In A Management Position	Ν	1
Already In A Management Position	164	65.47
No	13	66.85
Yes	102	69.24
Sig.		.338

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 32.319.

b The group sizes are unequal. The harmonic mean of the group sizes is used. Type I error levels are not guaranteed.

#### **Goal Orientation**

Tukey HSD

		Subset for alpha = .05
Would Like To Be In A Management Position	Ν	1
Already In A Management Position	164	60.39
No	13	62.31
Yes	102	64.10
Sig.		.374

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 32.319.

#### **Directive Orientation**

Tukey HSD

		Subset for alpha = .05
Would Like To Be In A Management Position	Ν	1
Already In A Management Position	164	58.37
Yes	102	59.64
No	13	62.69
Sig.		.335

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 32.319.

b The group sizes are unequal. The harmonic mean of the group sizes is used. Type I error levels are not guaranteed.

#### **Participatory Orientation**

Tukey HSD

		Subset for alpha = .05
Would Like To Be In A Management Position	Ν	1
Already In A Management Position	164	70.33
Yes	102	72.67
No	13	73.77
Sig.		.460

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 32.319.

b The group sizes are unequal. The harmonic mean of the group sizes is used. Type I error levels are not guaranteed.

#### **Adaptive Orientation**

Tukey HSD

		Subset for alpha = .05
Would Like To Be In A Management Position	Ν	1
Already In A Management Position	164	57.72
Yes	102	59.25
No	13	61.08
Sig.		.349

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 32.319.

#### Change Orientation

Tukey HSD

		Subset for alpha = .05
Would Like To Be In A Management Position	N	1
No	13	57.92
Already In A Management Position	164	58.12
Yes	102	60.45
Sig.		.676

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 32.319.

b The group sizes are unequal. The harmonic mean of the group sizes is used. Type I error levels are not guaranteed.

#### **Positive Orientation**

Tukey HSD

		Subset for alpha = .05
Would Like To Be In A Management Position	Ν	1
Already In A Management Position	164	55.05
Yes	102	56.08
No	13	58.15
Sig.		.471

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 32.319.

b The group sizes are unequal. The harmonic mean of the group sizes is used. Type I error levels are not guaranteed.

#### **Proactive Orientation**

Tukey HSD

		Subset for alpha = .05
Would Like To Be In A Management Position	Ν	1
No	13	62.69
Already In A Management Position	164	68.08
Yes	102	69.96
Sig.		.099

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 32.319.

### **ANNEX 4-Homogeneous Subsets**

The following tables present the homogeneous subsets for all the management styles and age.

#### **Product Orientation**

Tukey HSD

		Subset for alpha = .05
Age Groups	Ν	1
19-24	29	41.79
40-49	96	42.50
30-34	61	42.93
35-39	57	43.35
25-29	50	45.36
Sig.		.769

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 50.588.

b The group sizes are unequal. The harmonic mean of the group sizes is used. Type I error levels are not guaranteed.

#### **People Orientation**

Tukey HSD

		Subset for alpha = .05	
Age Groups	Ν	1	2
35-39	57	64.21	
40-49	96	66.29	66.29
25-29	50	67.50	67.50
30-34	61	67.75	67.75
19-24	29		70.52
Sig.		.401	.225

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 50.588.

#### **Goal Orientation**

Tukey HSD			
		Subset for alpha = .05	
Age Groups	Ν	1	
35-39	57	59.49	
19-24	29	60.93	
25-29	50	60.96	
30-34	61	62.21	
40-49	96	63.68	
Sig.		.329	

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 50.588.

b The group sizes are unequal. The harmonic mean of the group sizes is used. Type I error levels are not guaranteed.

#### **Directive Orientation**

Tukey HSD

		Subset for alpha = .05
Age Groups	Ν	1
35-39	57	56.05
19-24	29	56.38
25-29	50	57.20
30-34	61	58.43
40-49	96	61.21
Sig.		.221

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed. a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 50.588.

#### **Participatory Orientation**

Tukey HSD

		Subset for alpha = .05
Age Groups	Ν	1
35-39	57	68.77
25-29	50	68.98
30-34	61	71.77
19-24	29	72.24
40-49	96	73.24
Sig.		.290

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 50.588.

b The group sizes are unequal. The harmonic mean of the group sizes is used. Type I error levels are not guaranteed.

#### **Adaptive Orientation**

Tukey HSD

		Subset for alpha = .05
Age Groups	Ν	1
35-39	57	56.63
25-29	50	57.64
30-34	61	58.07
40-49	96	59.83
19-24	29	60.83
Sig.		.164

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 50.588.

#### Change Orientation

Tukey HSD

		Subset for alpha = .05
Ago Croupo	N	
Age Groups	IN	1
35-39	57	57.16
25-29	50	58.14
19-24	29	58.93
40-49	96	60.39
30-34	61	60.49
Sig.		.588

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 50.588.

b The group sizes are unequal. The harmonic mean of the group sizes is used. Type I error levels are not guaranteed.

#### **Positive Orientation**

Tukey HSD				
		Subset for alpha = .05		
Age Groups	Ν	1		
25-29	50	52.82		
19-24	29	55.10		
30-34	61	55.80		
35-39	57	55.84		
40-49	96	57.92		
Sig.		.118		

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 50.588.

#### **Proactive Orientation**

Tukey HSD

		Subset for alpha = .05
Age Groups	Ν	1
19-24	29	65.03
35-39	57	67.26
25-29	50	68.28
40-49	96	68.72
30-34	61	71.34
Sig.		.152

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed. a Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 50.588. b The group sizes are unequal. The harmonic mean of the group sizes is used. Type I error levels are not guaranteed.